THE MINISTER OF RAILWAYS (SHRI JANESHWAR MISHRA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) The grievances in the memorandum are of general nature demanding, *inter-alia*, increase in staff strength, creation of additional posts in various sections of Avadi Car Shed, supply of various Store Items, Spare Parts, provision of water supply, toilet facilities etc. All such grievances are looked into by concerned authorities and appropriate action taken wherever necessary.

Payment of dues of M/s Ring Road Carrier Delhi

1117. SHRI A. NALLASIVAN: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have received any representation from M/s Ring Road Carrier, Delhi about non-payment of their dues for the jobs they have rendered to the National Seeds Corporation of India Ltd.;

(b) if so, what are the dues; and

(c) what steps the National Seeds Corportaion of India Ltd. has taken to clear the dues?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATION IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI JAYANTILAL VIRCHANDBHAI SHAH): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) According to information made available by the NSC, it has been indicated by the Corporation that M/s. Ring Road Carriers were registered as transport agency with the NSC Regional Office at Bangalore for transport of seed to Patna Region. The agreement between the transporter and the NSC had provided for delivery in ten days. Most of the consignment were despatched in February and early March, 1987. Various consignments of maize seed were received by the Regional Office of NSC at Patna after considerable delay. Since the consignment arrived at the destination site very late, well past the sowing season, the seed had deteriorated in quality during the long transit period, the NSC had imposed penalty under the agreement with the transporter, for delays in delivery and consequent losses. According to the corporation no amount is due for payment to the transporter from the NSC.

Memorandum submitted by the Indian Railway Pharmacists' Association, Guwahati

1118. SHRI A. NALLASIVAN: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a memorandum dated the 20th January, 1991 from the Indian Railways Pharmacists' Association, N.F. Railway Zone, Guwahati, has been received in the Ministry;

(b) if so, the details of their demands; and

(c) the details of steps taken by Government to settle the demands?

THE MINISTER OF RAILWAYS (SHRI JANESHWAR MISHRA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) A statement indicating the demands is attached.

(c) All such demands are looked into by concerned authorities and appropriate action taken, wherever necessary on merits.

Statement

Demands of the Indian Railways Pharmacists Association N. F. Railway Zone, Guwahati.

1. The initial grade of the pharmacists should be equivalent to other Diploma holder categories i.e. Rs. 1400-2600/-.
2. Only three grades are available for the pharmacists. They should be given promotion upto Class I gazetted rank and the same should be in time bound basis.

3. Hospital pharmacy section should be started for economical manufacture of glucose and saline infusion, ointments, lotions syrups, ear-drops etc.

4. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare's Orders for hospital patient care allowance should be adopted in the Railways.

5. Pharmacists should be given Risk Health Hazardous Allowance.

6. Pharmacists should be allowed non-practising allowance.

7. Refresher course should be imparted to pharmacists to update their knowledge.

8. Special pay and advance increments be given as per yardsticks of Reserve Bank of India employees.

Cadre review of group 'C' and 'D' posts of C.P.W.D.

1119. SHRI A. NALLASIVAN: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether a representation dated 8th February, 1991 has been received from the C.P.W.D. Employees' and Workers' Union, Madras urging for expediting the implementation of cadre review of Group 'C' and 'D' posts in the C.P.W.D. based on Committees' reports;

(b) the details of the action being taken by Government to implement the cadre review;

(c) the details of the recommendations of the Committees appointed by Government on the question of cadre review of Group 'C' and 'D' posts; and

(d) by when the cadre review would be implemented?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DAULAT RAM SARAN): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The recommendations of the Cadre Review Committee are under Govt.'s consideration.

(c) The recommendations of the Committee being confidential cannot be disclosed at this stage.

(d) The Cadre Review being a long drawn out process, it is not possible to state when the cadre review proposals would be implemented.

Change of Government accommodation

1120. SHRI RAM AWADHESH SINGH: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) what are the rules relating to change of Government accommodation by the Central Government employees;

(b) whether it is a fact that change can be sought in any three areas;

(c) whether it is also a fact that a change-seeker stands debarred for six months for all three areas if he does not accept the offer of change in respect of one area only;

(d) if so, what are the reasons for debarring in all the three areas; and

(e) whether Government propose to review this rule in view of the rule being disadvantagious to the Central Government employees; if so, the details in this regard and if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DAULAT RAM SARAN): (a) to (e) An officer to whom a residence has been allotted can apply for a change to another residence of the same type on the prescribed form indicating his preference for three different localities. After acceptance by the competent authority, the name of the applicant is included in the change Waiting List. The inter-seniority of the applicant so included is determined on "first come first served basis". Change is offered as and when the turn of the officer is covered on the change Waiting List. Due regard to the officer's preference is given as far as possible. If an officer fails to accept the change of residence offered to him within the stipulated period, he is not considered for a change of residence for a period of 6 months. This